BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	n))
JAMES S. NOVICK, M.D. Certificate No. C-36874)))	No. 05-96-59454
R	espondent.)	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Decision is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on April 2, 1998

IT IS SO ORDERED March 3, 1998

By: Carole Stolowing VS

CAROLE HURVITZ, M.D.

Chair - Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

```
1
   DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
              of the State of California
   GLORIA A. BARRIOS,
      Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 94811
    California Department of Justice
3
    300 South Spring Street
    Los Angeles, California 90013
4
    Telephone: (213) 897-8854
5
   Attorneys for Complainant
 6
 7
                                BEFORE THE
                        DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
                       MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
 8
                     DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
                            STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 9
10
    In the Matter of the Accusation
11
                                             Case No. 05-96-59454
    Against:
                                             OAH No. L-1997120098
12
                                             STIPULATED SETTLEMENT
    JAMES S. NOVICK, M.D.,
    1750 Canada Blvd.
                                             AND DECISION
13
    Glendale, California 91208
14
    Physician and Surgeon Certificate
   No. C-36874
15
                        Respondent.
16
17
18
              In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of
19
    this matter, consistent with the public interest and the
20
    responsibility of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board
21
    of California Department of Consumer Affairs ("Division") the
22
    parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and
23
    Decision which will be submitted to the Division for its approval
24
    and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation.
25
    ///
26
    ///
27
```

PARTIES

- 1. Complainant Ron Joseph is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California who brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this matter by Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney General of the State of California, by Gloria A. Barrios, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. Respondent James S. Novick, M.D., ("respondent") is representing himself.
- 3. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. C-36874.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 05-96-59454, was filed before the Division and is currently pending against respondent. The Accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents, was duly served on the respondent on November 24, 1997, and respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense (contesting the Accusation). A copy of Accusation No. 05-96-59454 is attached as an Exhibit and incorporated herein by reference.
- 5. The Accusation alleges, among other things, that respondent has subjected his Physician and Surgeon Certificate to discipline for unprofessional conduct based on the following violations:
 - A. Section 2234, subd. (c) of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"), for repeated negligence referring to the care and management of

2.7

patient R.B.; section 2236, conviction which relates to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician; and section 2239, the use of alcohol in a manner which would be dangerous to himself.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and the effects of this stipulation.
- allegations in the Accusation, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician and Surgeon Certificate. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights and that, but for this Stipulation, he would be entitled: 1) to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; 2) to be represented by counsel, at his own expense, in all proceedings in this matter; 3) to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; 4) to present evidence on his own behalf and to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; 5) to reconsideration and appeal of an adverse decision; and 6) all other rights accorded pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. With these rights in mind, respondent freely, voluntarily, knowingly and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every allegation paragraphs 5, A-E, 7 and 8 in Accusation No. 05-96-59454.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his license is subject to discipline pursuant to section 2227 of the Code, as set forth above in paragraph 9. Respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.
- 12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:
- 25 | ///

2.0

- 26 | ///
- 27 | ///

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. C-36874 issued to James S. Novick, M.D., is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for 3 years on the following terms and conditions.

1.1

1. BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING

Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's cost, upon the request of the Division or its designee.

2. **DIVERSION PROGRAM**

Within 30 days from the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll and participate in the Division's Diversion Program for evaluation purposes only unless the Division determines that further treatment and rehabilitation is necessary. Quitting the program without permission or being expelled for cause shall constitute a violation of probation by respondent.

3. EDUCATION COURSE

Within 90 days from the effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designated by the Division, which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the

completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.

4. CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM

2.5

Within 90 days from the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval, a clinical training or educational program, PACE. The exact number of hours and specific content of the program shall be determined by the Division or its designee. Respondent shall successfully complete the training program and may be required to pass an examination administered by the Division or its designee related to the program's contents.

Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within 18 months after the effective date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. The respondent shall pay the costs of all examinations.

If respondent fails to pass the first examination, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a repeat examination has been successfully passed, as evidenced by written notice to respondent from the Division or its designee.

5. OBEY ALL LAWS

Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California,

and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

6. QUARTERLY REPORTS

2.7

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

7. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE

Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his or her addresses of business and residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

8. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S)

Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

9. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE OR IN-STATE NON-PRACTICE

In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should

7.

respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

10. COMPLETION OF PROBATION

Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

11. VIOLATION OF PROBATION

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

26 | ///

27 | ///

12. COST RECOVERY

The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the amount of \$2,000.00 within one year from the effective date of this decision for its investigative and prosecution costs. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of its investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

13. LICENSE SURRENDER

Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will no longer be subject to terms and conditions of probation.

1.0

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Decision. I understand the effect this stipulation will have on my Physician and Surgeon Certificate and agree to be bound

1	thereby. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Decision
2	knowingly, voluntarily, freely and intelligently.
3	DATED: $1/27/94$
4	
5	James S. Novick, M.D.,
6	Respondent
7	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Decision is
9	hereby respectfully submitted for consideration of the Division
10	of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California Department of
11	Consumer Affairs.
12	DATED: 2 98.
13	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
14	of the beate of carriornia
15	C. D. B.
16	Gloria A. Barrios Deputy Attorney General
17	Attorneys for Complainant
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

FILFD DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California STATE OF CALIFORNIA GLORIA A. BARRIOS (State Bar No. 94811) MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFO Deputy Attorney General SACRAMENTO LOLENS California Department of Justice BY Janek & Stipes 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013-1233 4 Telephone: (213) 897-8854 5 Attorneys for Complainant 6 7 **BEFORE THE** 8 **DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY** MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 05-96-59454 Matter of the Accusation) 11 In the Against: 12 **ACCUSATION** JAMES S. NOVICK, M.D. 1750 Canada Boulevard 13 Glendale CA 91208 14 Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. C36874, 15 Respondent.) 16 17 18 The Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** 20 Ron Joseph ("Complainant") brings this accusation 1. 21 solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the 22 Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board"). 23 On or about December 29, 1975, Physician and 24 Surgeon's Certificate No. C36874 was issued by the Board to James 25 S. Novick, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"). At all times relevant 26 27 //

to the charges brought herein, this license has been in full force and effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on April 30, 1998.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
 - A. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his license revoked, be suspended for a period not to exceed one year, be placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or have such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
 - B. Section 2234 of the Code provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
 - "(c) Repeated negligent acts.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
 - "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
 - C. Section 2236 of the Code provides:
- "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.
- "(b) The district attorney, city attorney, or other prosecuting agency shall notify the Division of Medical Quality of the pendency of an action against a licensee charging a felony or misdemeanor immediately upon obtaining information that the defendant is a licensee. The notice shall identify the licensee and described the crimes charged and the facts alleged. The prosecuting agency shall also notify the clerk of the court in which the action is pending that the defendant is a licensee, and the clerk shall record prominently in the file that the defendant holds a license as a physician and surgeon.
- "(c) The clerk of the court in which a licensee is convicted of a crime shall, within 48 hours after the conviction, transmit a certified copy of the record of conviction to the board. The division may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of a crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related

to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

- "(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."
 - D. Section 2239 of the Code provides:
- "(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4211, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred in this section, or any combination thereof, to constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- E. Section 16.01 of the 1997/199 Budget Act of the State of California provides, in pertinent part, that:
 - "(a) No funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any service

performed by a physician while that physician's license is under suspension or revocation due to disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California.

"(b) No funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any surgical services or other invasive procedure performed on any Medi-Cal beneficiary by a physician if that physician has been placed on probation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California related to the performance of that specific service or procedure on any patient, except in any case where the board makes a determination during its disciplinary process that there exist compelling circumstances that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period.

F. Section 125.3 of the Code provides:

"(a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department or before the Osteopathic Medical Board, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

· . . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"..."

prosecution of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

A certified copy of the actual costs, or a

The costs shall include the

good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not

available, signed by the entity bringing the proceeding

or its designated representative shall be prima facie

evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and

amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the

date of the hearing, including, but not limited to,

charges imposed by the Attorney General.

(Gross Negligence)

4. Respondent James S. Novick, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code in that respondent was grossly negligent in the care, treatment and management of patient R.B. 1/2 The circumstances are as follows:

A. On or about May 12, 1995, R.B., then only 38 years old, presented himself at the Simi Valley Hospital Emergency Department with complaints of radiating left shoulder pain. R.B. was in full cardiac arrest. There, R.B. died of severe triple vessel coronary artery disease, causing cardiac arrythmia and an early myocardial infarction.

B. On or about May 11, 1995, R.B. had been

^{1.} All patient references in this pleading shall be by initials only. The true names of the patients shall be disclosed to respondent upon his timely written request for discovery pursuant to Government Code section 11507.6.

examined by respondent at respondent's medical office. Respondent had been treating R.B. on an intermittent basis during the preceding five years.

- C. On or about May 11, 1995, respondent took a patient history and recorded, "38 year old male with episodes of hyperventilation, anxiety, lightheadedness, pressure sensation in the chest for 3 days, episodes last 1 hour and tend to occur in the morning prior to breakfast." R.B. stated that he smoked approximately one pack of cigarettes each day and had been doing so for the preceding 17 years. Respondent recorded that R.B.'s blood pressure was 148/110 and that his skin was "cool and clammy." Respondent examined R.B.'s lungs and found "mild scattered expiratory wheezes."
- D. Respondent diagnosed R.B. as having reactive hypoglycemia (abnormally small concentration of glucose in the circulating blood) and anxiety. Respondent recommended that R.B. have three meals a day, a bedtime snack, reduce or discontinue alcohol. He prescribed R.B. Xanax .5 mg for anxiety, and recommended he return as needed with a recheck of blood pressure in one to two weeks.
- E. The following acts of respondent during his care, treatment and management of R.B., singularly and collectivley, constituted an extreme departure from the standard of care:
- (1) Failing to give a patient with multiple risk factors for ischemic heart disease, including complaints of chest pain, an electrocardiogram or other diagnostic tests

to determine the condition of the patient's heart. 1 2 (2) Making а diagnosis of reactive hypoglycemia witout adequate or sufficient corroboration. 3 4 (3) Failing to do a patient history and 5 physical commensurate with the patient's complaints. 6 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 7 (Repeated Negligent Acts) 8 5. Respondent James S. Novick, M.D. is subject to 9 disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of the 10 Code in that respondent committed repeated negligent acts in the care, treatment and management of patient R.B. The circumstances 11 12 are as follows: 13 Complainant refers to and, by this reference 14 incorporates herein as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in paragraph 4, subparagaphs A through E, inclusive, 15 16 above. 17 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 18 (Incompetence) 6. Respondent James S. Novick, M.D. is subject to 19 20 disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d), of the 21 Code in that respondent was incompetent in that he demonstrated the 22 inability to carry out the duties and responsibilities of his 23 profession in the care, treatment and management of patient R.B. 24 The circumstances are as follows: 25 /// 26 /// 111 27

A. Complainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in paragraph 4, subparagaphs A and B, inclusive, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

- 7. Respondent James S. Novick, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2236 of the Code in that respondent has sustained a conviction for an offense substantially related to the duties, qualifications, and functions of a physician and surgeon. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. On or about August 29, 1993, in Glendale, California, respondent was arrested for suspicion of driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs or both.
 - B. On or about September 10, 1993, a misdemeanor complaint, in the matter entitled *People of the State of California v. James Stephen Novick*, Los Angeles County Municipal Court, Glendale Judical District, Case No. 93M05742, was filed against respondent, charging respondent with driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs or both and refusing to submit to a chemical test, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a).
 - C. On or about September 15, 1993, respondent entered a plea of guilty to the charged offense and was sentenced.

26 | ///

27 | ///

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Self Use Of Dangerous Drugs)

- 8. Respondent James S. Novick, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2239 of the Code in that respondent used dangerous drugs to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, or to another person or to the public, or to the extent that his ability practice medicine safely was impaired. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. Complainant refers to and, by this reference incorporates herein as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in paragraph 7, subparagaphs A through C, inclusive, above.
 - B. Respondent admits that he spent much of 1997 in a rehabilitation program in Chicago. Illinois for alcoholism and is currently under a doctor's care.
 - C. Respondent admits that he is disabled due to alcoholism and not able to practice medicine.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number C36874, heretofore issued to respondent James S.
 Novick, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of respondent's authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;

3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;

4. Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and proper.

DATED: November 6, 1997

Ron Joseph

Executive Director Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant